

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/791,215	03/02/2004	George E. Sakoske	FER-15313.002:	3941
	7609	7590 . 01/06/2006	EXAMINER		
	•	HILL, PORTER & CL	PADGETT, MARIANNE L		
		D AVENUE, SUITE 700 ND. OH 44115-1405	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		,		1762	

DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/791,215	SAKOSKE, GEORGE E.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Marianne L. Padgett	1762		

	Marianne L. Padgett	1762				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>06 December 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the contraction of t	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>12 October 2005</u>. A br the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any repl 	or any extension thereof (37 CFR 4	41.37(e)), to avoid dis	missal of the			
AMENDMENTS		90 41 .4				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See continuing sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116		ected claims.				
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.116 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Control of the control of the contr	9 due to in proper dep	endence.			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>7-19</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N Id sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
The request for reconsideration has been considered by See continuing sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☑ Other: 2 PTO-892 & 2 PTOL-413 B; See continuing she		No(s)				

Application/Control Number: 10/791,215

Art Unit: 1762

Continuation of 3.

The proposed amendments to claims 18 in 19 which now positively recites "crystal seed powder" and "metal and metal oxide materials" creates the new issues of whether or not this now positively recited narrower composition overcomes the combination of references based on Heyman, Axtell, Boaz & Sakoske.

Continuation of 11.

While it is noted that the coatings for the laser ablation processes of Heyman's or Axtell's can be inclusive of glass frits, pigments, metal oxides, metal containing compounds and/or silicates, they do not use the particular silicates claimed, nor the overall combination including both metals and metal oxides. Also, while Heyman's teaching that there inorganic silicates upon suitable heating or firing effect integration of the coating and substrate body with one another, can be inclusive of crystal seed powders and their effects, they do not necessitate the use of materials that act as nuclei for further crystallization, as would the now unambiguously specified silicate crystals seed powders. While Heyman et al. laser ablates in the "green" state, their composition while in part related to that claimed and that in the tertiary reference to Sakoske, does not necessarily show the laser ablatability of the ceramic enamel compositions as in the proposed claims, and Axtell while showing laser marking of automobile glass, does not ablate. However, Sahi (2002/0177065 A1) provides superior linking teachings to those of Axtell, as Sahi teaches laser marking, such as for serial numbers, of ceramic enamel compositions on automotive glass before firing to form an enamel ([0003], [0008], [0014-15], [0018], [0022-23] & [0025]), including teachings of preferred deposition by silk screening and that any suitable

Application/Control Number: 10/791,215

Art Unit: 1762

enamel containing finely ground glass or ceramic frit and optionally finely powdered lead may be employed, thus providing the expectation that the compositions as taught in Sakoske would have been effective in a laser ablation process as suggested by Heyman et al. plus Sahi.

Therefore the new narrower scope as suggested by the proposed amendment does not appear to place the claims in condition for allowance; hence the proposed amendments are not entered.

Continuation of 13. Other art of interest is noted to include Kupisiewicz (663-9178 B2) & Tweadey, II et al. (5131967), who teach controlled patterned laser removal of coatings on glass substrates, inclusive of use for windshields, but while the coatings may include metals or metal oxides, they are neither screen printed nor of overall claimed compositions.

The examiner notes that in the specification on page 1, [0001], line 2, the continuing data needs to be updated by inserting --, now abandoned,-- after "2001".

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marianne L. Padgett whose telephone number is (571) 272-1425. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from about 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks, can be reached at (571) 272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 1762

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MLP 12/21/2005

1/3/2006

MARIANNE PADGETT PRIMARY EXAMINER

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/791,215	SAKOSKE, GEORG	3E E.		
Examiner	Art Unit	***************************************		
Marianne L. Padgett	1762			

Wallatille L. Paugett 1702
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
The amendment document filed on <u>6 <i>December 2005</i></u> is considered non-compliant because it has failed to meet the equirements of 37 CFR 1.121. In order for the amendment document to be compliant, correction of the following item(s) is equired.
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE AMENDMENT DOCUMENT TO BE NON-COMPLIANT: 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include markings. B. New paragraph(s) should not be underlined. C. Other
 2. Abstract: A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37 CFR 1.72. B. Other
 3. Amendments to the drawings: A. The drawings are not properly identified in the top margin as "Replacement Sheet," "New Sheet," or "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 CFR 1.121(d). B. The practice of submitting proposed drawing correction has been eliminated. Replacement drawings showing amended figures, without markings, in compliance with 37 CFR 1.84 are required. C. Other
 4. Amendments to the claims: A. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present. B. The listing of claims does not include the text of all pending claims (including withdrawn claims) C. Each claim has not been provided with the proper status identifier, and as such, the individual status of each claim cannot be identified. Note: the status of every claim must be indicated after its claim number by using one of the following status identifiers: (Original), (Currently amended), (Canceled), (Previously presented), (New), (Not entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn-currently amended). D. The claims of this amendment paper have not been presented in ascending numerical order. E. Other: Not all amendments made to claims 18 in 19 were underlined.
For further explanation of the amendment format required by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP § 714 and the USPTO website at http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/officeflyer.pdf .
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTICE:
1. Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted within the time period set forth in the final Office action.
2. Applicant is given one month , or thirty (30) days, whichever is longer, from the mail date of this notice to supply the corrected section of the non-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121, if the non-compliant amendment is one of the following: a preliminary amendment, a non-final amendment (including a submission for a request for continued examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed within a suspension period under 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action.
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action.
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental
amendment. MARIANNE PADGETT

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Part of Paper No. 2006